UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/716,616	11/20/2003	Henricus A. Marquering	0142-0436P	6357		
	7590 11/06/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER				
PO BOX 747		SETH, MANAV				
FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2624			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			11/06/2008	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/716,616		MARQUERING ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			MANAV SETH		2624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ars on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Issions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will a will, by statute, care	TE OF THIS Control (a). In no event, how apply and will expire ause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be times SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 Oct	toher 2008						
· · ·	•		ober 2000. Action is non-fir	nal					
3)		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
ا (۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	·	ioo ariaor ex	parco quayro,	1000 0.5. 11, 10	.0 0.0.210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-21 and 24</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-17,22 and 23</u> is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)□	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer							
-				ed or h)M object	ed to by the Evan	miner			
10)[	10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 November 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Exa	miner. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	nte				

Application/Control Number: 10/716,616 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Response to Amendment after final

1. Applicant's amendment filed after final on October 15, 2008 has been considered and

entered in full.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claims have been considered and are

persuasive, therefore all prior art rejections on the respective claims have been withdrawn. The

finality of the previous office action mailed has been withdrawn and the new rejections on the

respective claims under 35 USC 101 have been presented below.

Drawings

3. The specification in paragraph [032] discloses "Figure 1 shows an overview of an exemplary

segmentation method showing three basic steps from known segmentation systems" and

therefore, Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as -- Prior Art-- because only that which is

old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d)

are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement

sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not

to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action.

The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/716,616 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of

this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent

Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005

<a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/og200547.htm">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/sol/og/2005/week47/og200547.htm</a>), Annex

IV, reads as follows:

In contrast, a claimed computer-readable medium encoded with a computer program is a

computer element which defines structural and functional interrelationships between the

computer program and the rest of the computer which permit the computer program's

functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. See Lowry, 32 F.3d at 1583-84, 32 USPQ2d

at 1035.

Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a

frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se,

and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14.

Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional

descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in

Sec. 101.

... a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

... signal claims are ineligible for patent protection because they do not fall within any of the

four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

5. Claims 14-17 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because:

Claims 14-17 and 23 are drawn towards a computer-program product embodied on at least one computer readable. Such computer executable programs are functional descriptive material that can constitute statutory products when properly claimed in combination with a computer readable storage device that allows the functionality of the program to be realized. However, while the claim defines the program in combination with a "computer readable medium," the claim language is not limited to the use of tangible storage media in combination with the program. Specifically, the disclosure defines the computer readable medium as including storage media such as RAM, ROM, PROM, magnetic disc, optical disc, magneto-optical disc and a computer data signal (paragraph 0076 on page 17 of the specification). While RAM, ROM, PROM, magnetic disc, optical disc, magneto-optical disc (statutory media) are physical storage devices, but a signal is intangible energy, and therefore is not considered to be a statutory product. While the claim does read on at least some statutory embodiments (such as the RAM, ROM, PROM, magnetic disc, optical disc, magneto-optical disc), it also encompasses the combination of the program with the signal, which is not a statutory product. Since the claimed invention encompasses non-statutory embodiments, the claim is directed towards non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 14-17 and 23 as a whole define a signal, and "[a] transitory, propagating signal ....is not a "process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter." Those four categories define the explicit scope and reach of scope and reach of subject matter patentable under 35 U.S.C § 101; thus, such a signal cannot be patentable subject matter." (In re Petrus A.C.M. Nutijen; fed Cir, 2006-1371, 9/20/2007).

Because the full scope of the claim as properly read in light of the disclosure encompasses non-statutory subject matter, the claim as a whole is non-statutory. The examiner suggests amending the claim to *include* the disclosed tangible computer readable media such as "computer readable

Application/Control Number: 10/716,616

Art Unit: 2624

Page 5

storage medium", while at the same time excluding the intangible media such as signals, carrier waves,

etc. Any amendment to the claim should be commensurate with its corresponding disclosure.

6. Claims 1-7, 9-13 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the

four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be

performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category

(such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or

material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy

Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Processes' under

35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to

another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify

as a statutory process.

The memorandum issued can be found at:

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section\_101\_05\_15\_2008.pdf

Or,

from uspto.gov, click "Policy and Law", "Patents", "Memorandum to the Examining Corps",

"Clarification of "processes" under ... 101".

Allowable Subject Matter

Reasons of Allowance:

7. Claims 18-21 and 24 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons of allowance:

The reasons of allowance for claim 18 should be evident from the applicant's arguments as filed in the amendment filed on 10/15/2008. The closest prior art as cited does not teach "constructing separating elements corresponding to rectangular areas of adjacent pixels of the image having the background property" in combination with other limitations as recited in claim 18. Therefore claim 18 is allowed. All other claims depending on claim 18 are allowable at least by dependency on claim 18.

Claims 1-7, 9-17, 22 and 23 would be allowable for the same reasons as applied to claims 18-21 and 24, after all the 35 USC 101 rejection issues are resolved.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manav Seth whose telephone number is (571) 272-7456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Bella, can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/716,616 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Manav Seth/ Art Unit 2624 November 1, 2008